

## Lecture Objectives

- To solve various types of engineering problems using numerical integration
- To be able to determine which type of integration technique to use for specific applications - cost benefit


## Numerical Integration

- Very common operation in engineering, Examples?
- Functions that are difficult or impossible to analytically integrate can often be numerically integrated
- Discrete data integration (I.e, experimental, maybe unevenly spaced data)
- We will consider two numerical integration techniques:
- Newton Cotes
- Gauss Quadrature
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Newton Cotes Integration Formula -

- Most common numerical technique
- Replace a complicated function or tabulated data with with an approximate function that we can easily integrate

$$
I=\int_{x=a}^{x=b} f(x) d x \approx \int_{x=a}^{x=b} f_{n}(x) d x
$$

Nth order polynomial
$f_{n}(x)=a_{0}+a_{1} x+a_{2} x^{2}+\cdots+a_{n-1} x^{n-1}+a_{n} x^{n}$
$n=1 \rightarrow$ straight line $n=2 \rightarrow$ parabola

Newton Cotes Integration Formula


Apply piecewise to cover the range $a<x<b$
OPEN \& CLOSED forms of Newton-Cotes

- Open form - integration limits extend beyond the
range of data (like extrapolation); not usually used for definite integration
-Closed form - data points are located at the beginning and end of integration limits are known $\rightarrow$ Focus

Newton Cotes Integration Formula - Trapezoidal Rule

- Use a first order polynomial ( $n=1$, a straight line)
to approximate our function $f(x)$


Newton Cotes Integration Formula - Trapezoidal Rule

- This is in the form width x average height

$$
I=\underbrace{(b-a)}_{\text {width }} \underbrace{\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}}_{\text {Average height }}
$$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$

- Error for a single application (Truncation Error)

$E_{t}=-\frac{1}{12} f^{\prime \prime}(\xi)(b-a)^{3}$
- Exact for a linear function
- functions with $2^{\text {nd }}$ and higher order derivatives will have some error


## Trapezoidal Rule - Multiple Applications

- Divide the interval a $\rightarrow$ b into n segments with $\mathrm{n}+1$
$\qquad$ equally spaced base points

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x) \text { a segment width } \\
& h=\frac{b-a}{n} \\
& \begin{array}{lllllll}
x_{0} & x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} & x_{4} & x_{5} & x_{6} \\
\mathrm{a} & & & & & & \\
b
\end{array} \\
& I=\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} f(x) d x+\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} f(x) d x+\cdots+\int_{x_{n-1}}^{x_{n}} f(x) d x \\
& I=h \frac{f\left(x_{o}\right)+f\left(x_{1}\right)}{2}+h \frac{f\left(x_{1}\right)+f\left(x_{2}\right)}{2}+\cdots+h \frac{f\left(x_{n-1}\right)+f\left(x_{n}\right)}{2} \\
& I=\frac{h}{2}\left[f\left(x_{o}\right)+2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f\left(x_{i}\right)+f\left(x_{n}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Trapezoidal Rule - Multiple Applications

- Put in the form width x average height

$\qquad$
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- Total Trapezoidal error - sum of individual errors $\qquad$

$$
E_{t}=-\frac{(b-a)^{3}}{12 n^{3}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f^{\prime \prime}(\xi)
$$

- Approximate $E_{t}$ by estimating mean $2^{\text {nd }}$ derivative over the entire interval

$$
\overline{f^{\prime \prime}} \approx \frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f^{\prime \prime}(\xi)\right) \quad E_{a}=-\frac{(b-a)^{3}}{12 n^{2}} \overline{f^{\prime \prime}}
$$

Trapezoidal Rule - Notes

1. For nicely behaved functions a single application of the trapezoid rule will give sufficient accuracy for many engineering purpose
2. For high accuracy (large n), computational effort is higher
3. Round Off Error with large $n$ will limit the accuracy of the trapezoid rule
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## Simpson's $1 / 3$ Rule -

- Use a higher order polynomial to approximate our
$\qquad$ function $f(x)-2^{\text {nd }}$ order Lagrange polynomial - a unique polynomial that passes through a data points


Simpson's $1 / 3$ Rule -

- After integrating \& simplifying:

$$
I=\frac{h}{3}\left[f\left(x_{0}\right)+4 f\left(x_{1}\right)+f\left(x_{2}\right)\right]
$$
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## Simpson's 1/3 Rule -

- Error

$$
E_{t}=-\frac{(b-a)^{5}}{2880} f^{(4)}(\xi)
$$

$\qquad$

- Exact for 3rd order polynomials
- Error goes like (b-a) ${ }^{5}$ compared to $3^{\text {rd }}$ power of $\qquad$ trapezoidal rule

Simpson's 1/3 Rule - Multiple Applications

- Requires an Even number of segments
$\qquad$

- Error, third order accurate even though we only use 3 points

$$
E_{a}=-\frac{(b-a)^{5}}{180 n^{4}} \frac{f^{(4)}}{}
$$

## Simpson's 3/8 Rule -

- An odd number of segments with an even number of points formula (use a $3^{\text {rd }}$ order polynomial to approximate $f(x)$ )
- Can be used with Simpson's $1 / 3$ rule to evaluate even or odd number of segment problems.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I=\int_{x=a}^{x=b} f(x) d x \approx \int_{x=a}^{x=b} f_{3}(x) d x \\
& I=\frac{3}{8} h\left[f\left(x_{0}\right)+3 f\left(x_{1}\right)+3 f\left(x_{2}\right)+f\left(x_{3}\right)\right] \quad h=\frac{b-a}{3} \\
& I=(b-a) \\
& \underbrace{[\underbrace{f\left(x_{0}\right)+3 f\left(x_{1}\right)+3 f\left(x_{2}\right)+f\left(x_{1}\right)}_{\text {Average height }}}_{\text {width }} 8
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Simpson's 3/8 Rule -

- Error

$$
E_{t}=-\frac{(b-a)^{5}}{6480} f^{(4)}(\xi)
$$

- Exact for $3^{\text {rd }}$ order polynomials, slightly more accurate than $1 / 3$ rule
- Simpson's $1 / 3$ rule is preferred since the same accuracy is achieved with few points.
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## Gauss Quadrature

Newton-Cotes - (ie., trapezoidal rule \& Simpson's) the integral
$\qquad$ was determined by calculating the area under the curve connecting points $a$ and $b$ (where we evaluate the function at the end points).

Gauss Quadrature - Consider 2 points along a straight line in between $a$ and $b$ where positive and negative errors balance to reduce total error and give a an improved estimate of the integral. Uses unequal non-uniform spacing - best for functions not tabular data.


## Gauss Quadrature - Method of Undetermined Coefficients

Trapezoidal Rule:

$$
\begin{gathered}
I=(b-a) \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} \\
I \approx c_{0} f(a)+c_{1} f(b)
\end{gathered}
$$

Should give exact results if $f(x)=$ constant or straight line
(a) $y=1$

(b) $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{x}$
$f(x))_{(b-a) / 2}$
$x$

Gauss Quadrature - Method of Undetermined Coefficients

$$
\text { (a) } \mathrm{y}=1
$$

$\begin{aligned} & \text { Evaluate Exact } \longrightarrow I=\int_{-\frac{b-a}{2}}^{\frac{b-a}{2}} f(x) d x=\int_{-\frac{b-a}{2}}^{\frac{b-a}{2}} 1 d x=\left.x\right|_{-\frac{b-a}{2}} ^{\frac{b-a}{2}}=b-a \\ & \text { integral } \\ & \left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Evaluate } \\ \text { approximation } \longrightarrow I=c_{0}\end{array}\right](a)+c_{1} f(b)=c_{0}+c_{1}\end{aligned}$.
approximation $\longrightarrow I=c_{0} f(a)+c_{1} f(b)=c_{0}+c_{1}$


Set equal to each other:

$$
b-a=c_{0}+c_{1}
$$

Gauss Quadrature - Method of Undetermined Coefficients $\qquad$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (b) } \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{x} \\
& I=\int_{-\frac{b-a}{2}}^{\frac{b-a}{2}} f(x) d x=\int_{-\frac{b-a}{2}}^{\frac{b-a}{2}} x d x=\left.\frac{x^{2}}{2}\right|_{-\frac{b-a}{2}} ^{\frac{b-a}{2}}=0 \\
& I \approx c_{0} f(a)+c_{1} f(b) \\
& I \approx c_{0}(-(b-a) / 2)+c_{1}((b-a) / 2) \\
& f(x) \times-c_{0} \frac{b-a}{2}+c_{1} \frac{b-a}{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Gauss Quadrature - Method of Undetermined Coefficients

$\qquad$
2 Equations \& 2 unknowns solve for $c_{o}$ and $c_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
-c_{0} \frac{b-a}{2}+c_{1} \frac{b-a}{2}=0 & \longrightarrow c_{0}=c_{1} \\
b-a=c_{0}+c_{1} & \longrightarrow c_{0}=c_{1}=\frac{b-a}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substitute $c_{o}$ and $c_{1}$ back into the original equation:
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& I \approx c_{0} f(a)+c_{1} f(b) \\
& I \approx \frac{b-a}{2} f(a)+\frac{b-a}{2} f(b) \\
& I=(b-a) \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { Equivalent to the } \\
\text { Trapezoidal Rule! }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Two Point Gauss Legendre Formula

Extend the method of undetermined coefficients:

$$
I \approx c_{0} f\left(x_{0}\right)+c_{1} f\left(x_{1}\right)
$$

$\qquad$

$$
c_{o} \& c_{1} \text { - unknown constants }
$$

$$
f\left(x_{o}\right) \& f\left(x_{1}\right) \text { - unknown locations between } a \& b
$$

We now have 4 unknowns $\rightarrow$ need 4 equations!


Two Point Gauss Legendre Formula
Need to assume functions again:

$$
I \approx c_{0} f\left(x_{0}\right)+c_{1} f\left(x_{1}\right)
$$

(a) $f(x)=1$
(b) $f(x)=x$
(c) $f(x)=x^{2} \quad$ Parabolic \& cubic functions will give (d) $\left.f(x)=x^{3}\right\} \quad$ us a total of 4 equations

We will get a 2pt linear integration formula formula that will be exact for cubics!

To simplify the math \& provide a general formula $\rightarrow$ select limits of integration to be $-1 \& 1$

Normalized coordinates

## Two Point Gauss Legendre Formula

Evaluate the integrals for our 4 equations:
(a) $f(x)=1$
$c_{0} f\left(x_{0}\right)+c_{1} f\left(x_{1}\right)=\int_{-1}^{1} 1 d x=2$
(b) $f(x)=x$
$c_{0} f\left(x_{0}\right)+c_{1} f\left(x_{1}\right)=\int_{-1}^{1} x d x=0$
(c) $f(x)=x^{2} \quad c_{0} f\left(x_{0}\right)+c_{1} f\left(x_{1}\right)=\int_{-1}^{1} x^{2} d x=\frac{2}{3}$
(d) $f(x)=x^{3} \quad c_{0} f\left(x_{0}\right)+c_{1} f\left(x_{1}\right)=\int_{-1}^{1} x^{3} d x=0$
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## Two Point Gauss Legendre Formula

Rewrite the equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{0}+c_{1}=2 \\
& c_{0} x_{0}+c_{1} x_{1}=0 \\
& c_{0} x_{0}^{2}+c_{1} x_{1}^{2}=\frac{2}{3} \\
& c_{0} x_{0}^{3}+c_{1} x_{0}^{3}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Solve for co, c1, xo and x 1 :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{0}=c_{1}=1 \\
& x_{0}=-1 / \sqrt{3} \\
& x_{1}=1 / \sqrt{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

| Two Point Gauss Legendre Formula |
| :---: |
| 2 Point Gauss Legendre Formula (for integration limits -1 to 1: |
| Need to change variables to translate to other integration limits |
| 3rd order accurate |

Two Point Gauss Legendre Formula
Changing the limits of integration:
• Introduce a new variable $x_{d}$ that represents x in our
generalized formula (where we use -1 to 1 )

- Assume $x_{d}$ is linearly related to $x$
$x=a_{0}+a_{1} x_{d}$
$x=a \rightarrow x_{d}=-1$

$x=b \rightarrow x_{d}=+1$$\quad$| $a=a_{0}+a_{1}(-1)$ |
| :---: |
| $b=a_{0}+a_{1}(1)$ |

## Two Point Gauss Legendre Formula

Substitute $a_{o}$ and $a_{1}$ back into our original linear formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=a_{0}+a_{1} x_{d} \\
& x=\left(\frac{b+a}{2}\right)+\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right) x_{d}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\qquad$
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Differentiate with respect to $x_{d}$ :

$$
d x=\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right) d x_{d}
$$

Substitute these values of $x$ and $d x$ in the original integral to effectively change the limits of integration without changing the value of the integral.

$$
I=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \approx \frac{b-a}{2}\left[c_{0} f\left(x_{o}^{\text {trans }}\right)+c_{1} f\left(x_{1}^{\text {trans }}\right)\right]
$$

Gauss-Legendre Quadrature - uses roots of Legendre Polynomials to locate the point at which $\qquad$ the integrand is evaluated

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} f(x) d x=\sum_{i=0}^{n} c_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

Gauss-Legendre Quadrature - uses roots of Legendre Polynomials to locate the point at which the integrand is evaluated
unknown weighting coefficients


Gauss-Legendre Quadrature - uses roots of Legendre Polynomials to locate the point at which the integrand is evaluated
unknown weighting coefficients

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} f(x) d x=\sum_{i=0}^{n} c_{i} f\left(x_{j}\right)
$$

The values of $w_{i}$ and $x_{i}$ are chosen so that the formula will be exact up to \& including a polynomial of degree $(2 m-1)$, where $m$ is the number of points.

Ex: 2 Point $\rightarrow$ Exact 3 $3^{\text {rd }}$ order polynomial

Gauss-Legendre Quadrature - General form 2 Point Application - from our derivation, we found $x_{o}$ and $x_{1}$ for the integration limits 1 to -1
$I=\int_{-1}^{1} f\left(x_{d}\right) d x_{d} \approx c_{0} f\left(x_{0}\right)+c_{1} f\left(x_{1}\right)$


$$
I \approx f\left(\frac{-1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)+f\left(\frac{-1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)
$$

Gauss-Legendre Quadrature - 2 Pt Application Transformation procedure

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=\frac{b+a}{2}+\frac{b-a}{2} x_{d} \\
& x_{o}^{\text {tans }}=\frac{b+a}{2}+\frac{b-a}{2}\left(\frac{-1}{\sqrt{3}}\right) \\
& x_{1}^{\text {trans }}=\frac{b+a}{2}+\frac{b-a}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right) \\
& d x=\frac{b-a}{2} d x_{d} \\
& I=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \approx \frac{b-a}{2}\left[c_{0} f\left(x_{o}^{\text {trans }}\right)+c_{1} f\left(x_{1}^{\text {trans }}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

```
            Gauss-Legendre Quadrature - Simple 2 point Example
    Integrate the following function from \(x=0.2\) to 0.8 :
    \(f(x)=4 x^{4}+2 x^{2}-1\)
    \(I=\int_{2}^{8} f(x) d x=\int_{2}^{8} 4 x^{4}+2 x^{2}-1 d x\)
    \(I=\int_{-1}^{1} f\left(x_{d}\right) d x_{d} \approx f(-1 / \sqrt{3})+f(1 / \sqrt{3})\)
Step 1: Transform limits and Gauss points ( \(\mathrm{x}_{0} \& \mathrm{x}_{1}\) ) from general form
    \(x_{o}^{\text {rans }}=\frac{b+a}{2}+\frac{b-a}{2}\left(\frac{-1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)=0.5+0.3\left(\frac{-1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)=0.3267949\)
    \(x_{1}^{\text {rons }}=\frac{b+a}{2}+\frac{b-a}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)=0.5+0.3\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)=0.6732050\)
Step 2: perform summation
\(I=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \approx \frac{b-a}{2}\left[c_{0} f\left(x_{o}^{\text {rrans }}\right)+c_{1} f\left(x_{1}^{\text {rans }}\right)\right]\)
\(I \approx 0.3[(1) f(0.3267949)+(1) f(0.6732050)]\)
\(I \approx 0.3\left[4(0.3267949)^{4}+2(0.3267949)^{2}-1+4(0.673205)^{4}+20.673205^{2}-1\right]\)
```


## Error Estimate n-point Gauss-Legendre

$\qquad$ Formula

$$
E_{t}=\frac{2^{2 n+1}[n!]^{4}}{(2 n+1)[(2 n) \cdot]^{3}} f^{(2 n)}(\xi) \quad-1<\xi<1
$$

$\qquad$

Where $n$ is the number of points in the formula (remember, a $n$-point formula integrates a polynomial of $2 \mathrm{n}-1$ exactly!) $\qquad$ $f^{(2 n)}(\xi)$ is the (2n)th derivative after the change of variable

If the magnitude of the higher order derivatives decrease or only increase slowly with increasing $n$, Gauss formulas are Significantly more accurate than Newton-Cotes formulas.
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