### 25.18 Solutions:

If you solved the problem using Runge-Kutta you should get:
The $4^{\text {th }}$-order RK method with $h=0.5$ gives

| $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{k}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{m}}$ | $\boldsymbol{k}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{m}}$ | $\boldsymbol{k}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{e}}$ | $\boldsymbol{k}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | -1.1 | 0.725 | -0.75219 | 0.811953 | -0.8424 | 0.578799 | -0.49198 | -0.79686 |
| 0.5 | 0.60157 | -0.51133 | 0.473737 | -0.25463 | 0.537912 | -0.28913 | 0.457006 | -0.0457 | -0.27409 |
| 1 | 0.464524 | -0.04645 | 0.452911 | 0.209471 | 0.516892 | 0.239062 | 0.584055 | 0.671663 | 0.253713 |
| 1.5 | 0.59138 | 0.680087 | 0.761402 | 1.494252 | 0.964943 | 1.893701 | 1.538231 | 4.460869 | 1.986144 |
| 2 | 1.584452 | 4.594911 | 2.73318 | 10.83023 | 4.292008 | 17.00708 | 10.08799 | 51.95317 | 18.70378 |

If you solved the problem 25.1 using
Euler's method with $h=0.5$

| $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{y l} \boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{x}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | -1.1 |
| 0.5 | 0.45 | -0.3825 |
| 1 | 0.25875 | -0.02588 |
| 1.5 | 0.245813 | 0.282684 |
| 2 | 0.387155 | 1.122749 |

27.2 Solutions: Re-express the second-order equation as a pair of ODEs:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d T}{d x}=z \\
& \frac{d z}{d x}=0.15 T
\end{aligned}
$$

The solution was using the Heun method (without iteration) with a step-size of 0.01 .
An initial condition of $z=-120$ was chosen for the first shot. The first few calculation results are shown below.

| $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{T}$ | $\boldsymbol{Z}$ | $\boldsymbol{k}_{\mathbf{1 1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{k}_{\mathbf{1 2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{T}_{\text {end }}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}_{\text {end }}$ | $\boldsymbol{k}_{\mathbf{2 1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{k}_{\mathbf{2 2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{\phi}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{\phi}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 240.000 | -120.000 | -120.000 | 36.000 | 228.000 | -116.400 | -116.400 | 34.200 | -118.200 | 35.100 |
| 0.1 | 228.180 | -116.490 | -116.490 | 34.227 | 216.531 | -113.067 | -113.067 | 32.480 | -114.779 | 33.353 |
| 0.2 | 216.702 | -113.155 | -113.155 | 32.505 | 205.387 | -109.904 | -109.904 | 30.808 | -111.529 | 31.657 |
| 0.3 | 205.549 | -109.989 | -109.989 | 30.832 | 194.550 | -106.906 | -106.906 | 29.183 | -108.447 | 30.007 |
| 0.4 | 194.704 | -106.988 | -106.988 | 29.206 | 184.006 | -104.068 | -104.068 | 27.601 | -105.528 | 28.403 |
| 0.5 | 184.152 | -104.148 | -104.148 | 27.623 | 173.737 | -101.386 | -101.386 | 26.061 | -102.767 | 26.842 |

The resulting value at $x=10$ was $T(10)=-1671.817$. A second shot using an initial condition of $\mathrm{z}(0)=-60$ was attempted with the result at $x=10$ of $T(10)=2047.766$. These values can then be used to derive the correct initial condition,

$$
z(0)=-120+\frac{-60+120}{2047.766-(-1671.817)}(150-(-1671.817))=-90.6126
$$

The resulting fit, along with the two "shots" are displayed below:


The final shot along with the analytical solution (displayed as filled circles) shows close agreement:


### 27.3 Solution

A centered finite difference can be substituted for the second derivative to give,

$$
\frac{T_{i+1}-2 T_{i}+T_{i-1}}{h^{2}}-0.15 T_{i}=0
$$

or for $h=1$,
$-T_{i-1}+2.15 T_{i}-T_{i+1}=0$
The first node would be
$2.15 T_{1}-T_{2}=240$
and the last node would be
$-T_{9}+2.15 T_{10}=150$
The tridiagonal system can be solved with the Thomas algorithm or Gauss-Seidel for (the analytical solution is also included)

| $\boldsymbol{X}$ | $\boldsymbol{T}$ | Analytical |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 240 | 240 |
| 1 | 165.7573 | 165.3290 |
| 2 | 116.3782 | 115.7689 |
| 3 | 84.4558 | 83.7924 |
| 4 | 65.2018 | 64.5425 |
| 5 | 55.7281 | 55.0957 |
| 6 | 54.6136 | 54.0171 |
| 7 | 61.6911 | 61.1428 |
| 8 | 78.0223 | 77.5552 |
| 9 | 106.0569 | 105.7469 |
| 10 | 150 | 150 |

The following plot of the results (with the analytical shown as filled circles) indicates close agreement.


